

MCLL s 115 February 18, 2019

Who are the ETs?

This is a difficult topic because little of it is based on conscious recall of remembered experiences; most of it is based on either dreams, flashbacks, or hypnotically aided recall following the consciously recalled experiences of a close encounter and “missing time.” There are multiple sources and combining them to add information rather than to increase noise is a challenge.

But first ... another aside; an excellent summary of skeptics and debunkers by the Northern Ontario UFO Study Group.

http://www.noufors.com/leading_skeptics_and_debunkers.html

I was looking for a concise and accurate summary of skeptics and debunkers, and just the other day came across an excellent summary – and it is very local; from the Northern Ontario UFO Study group. It is as concise and complete a list as I have ever seen. My compliments to Michel Deschamps – I have already thanked him, and I recommend his website

Home

Introduction to NOUFORS

What's New

Products

Michael M. Danchempau - Director

Personal Meetings

Meetings Archive

Newsprint Archive


UFOs

UFO Characteristics

UFO Physical Traces

Animal Mutations

UFO Occupants



NOUFORS
NORTHERN ONTARIO UFO RESEARCH & STUDY

Leading Skeptics and Debunkers

Ufology may have its proponents, but it also has its opponents. The field of UFO research is filled with experts that are very extremely knowledgeable about UFOs, Flying Saucers and related matters.

But also added to the mix are those people whose skepticism remain unshaken. No matter what evidence you present to the information that doesn't fit their pre-conceived ideas and theories. Debunkers will even go further and tell you what you saw eyewitness, actually saw with your own eyes!



RULES FOR UFO DEBUNKERS:

1. Don't bother me with the facts, my mind is made up.
2. What the public doesn't know, I am not going to tell them.
3. If you can't attack the data, attack the people. It's easier.
4. Do research by proclamation because investigation is too much trouble.

COROLLARIES:

- Absence of evidence is evidence of absence because looking is too much trouble.
- All Believers are liars.
- All Non-believers only tell the truth.
- Believers are only involved for the money.
- Non-believers turn over their money to charities.
- The proper way to do UFO document research is from one's armchair. Archival visits are too much t
- Defame dead people since one can't be sued for Libel.
- Of course TOP SECRET CODE WORD (ULTRA, UMBRA, MAJIC, etc) material would be referred in Cor

The site has much more than an annotated list of skeptics and debunkers; click on it and I think you will be pleased to see how much they cover. A lot goes on over the Canadian Shield – St. Adolphe d’Howard is our good local example of that.



A public skeptic I've dealt with, at the Association for Psychological Science Conference in Boston in 2010. She was on a discussion panel I organized. She is the author of *Abducted: How people come to believe they were kidnapped by aliens*

Susan A. Clancy

Biography

Prof. Clancy is renowned as an expert in topics of gender diversity and women leadership. She has succeeded in a predominantly male environment.



She has a Ph.D. in Experimental Psychology from Harvard University, where she was a Faculty member. At INCAE, she is a professor and Research Director of the Center for Women's Leadership.

Susan Clancy and her Harvard mentor, social psychology professor Richard McNally, are collegial skeptics. That means that we won't exchange insults in public or write slanderous twitters about each other, but agree to disagree on the basis of different interpretations of the evidence. I have presented evidence enabling researchers to sort out fakers and wannabes from real abductees; McNally and Clancy think that all people claiming abduction experiences are either fakers or self-deluded wannabes.

**And now another aside: A a few topics I didn't get to cover on February 11:
my collaboration with Budd Hopkins and Ted Davis on evaluating abduction
Experiences.**

I was about to talk about this when we ran out of time last week. It is research that I carried out in collaboration with Budd Hopkins and Ted Davis now a long time ago. It started at the Alien Discussions conference held at MIT in 1992. ..as you shall see.

The Roper Poll and the Alien Discussions Conference (1992)




My first involvement in the abduction research area came about as a result of a discussion at an abduction conference held at MIT in 1992. I had suggested improvements to the analysis of data collected during an extensive random survey of Americans carried out in 1991.


I suggested an elaborate and expensive re-analysis of the data that would have cost \$ 140 K plus and went nowhere. However it put me in contact with the poll sponsors.

The UFO Abduction Syndrome

Ted Davis, Don C. Donderi and Budd Hopkins



The full test (608 items)




The simplified test (80 items)

I worked with the late Budd Hopkins, and Ted Davis, to evaluate a much longer test that they then created, based in part on standard psychometric tests that Davis (a social worker) knew well, and that they modified to access experiences that might indicate an abduction.

I did some of the testing and evaluation, and the work has been published. Follow-up studies were presented at the MUFON annual meeting in Cherry Hill, NJ (near Philadelphia PA) in July of 2018.

TABLE 2: THE ALIEN SPECTRUM (Illustrations added by DCD)

From: David M. Jacobs: *Walking Among Us: The Alien Plan to Control Humanity*. San Francisco, Red Wheel Weiser, 2015, pp 20 – 25





Jacobs' Earlier Books

Now: who are the ETs? This is a relatively hard question to answer because, as I wrote earlier, it is based on mostly hypnotically recovered evidence which is possibly less reliable than consciously recalled testimony.



The senior researcher in the field is David M. Jacobs, a retired Temple University history professor, who has written four books on the topic. He also taught a credit course on UFOs in the Temple University history department!

I report here work taken from his last book, *Walking Among Us*, published in 2015. He summarizes his findings in a comprehensive table, which I have reproduced in part, adding some illustrations.



Type	Characteristics	Function/Role	Illustration
Insectalins	Praying-Mantis like; 6+ feet tall, only communicate telepathically	Seem to be in command	
Small Grays	3 – 3.5 feet tall and thin, only communicate telepathically; no gender identification, assumed male.	Helpers, abduct humans, escort abductees, perform menial functions.	

According to Jacobs, the Insectalins are at the top of the heap: they give orders to other species of aliens. Not everyone agrees.


Then he goes on to discuss the small greys: definitely a subordinate species who work under the direction of other ET. Note they communicate telepathically: a common attribute of almost all ETs.

Tall Grays	4 – 4.5 feet tall and thin, only communicate telepathically, identifiable as male or female	Abduct humans and take them aboard UFO	
Reptain Hybrids	5 – 6 feet tall, snake-like head, scaly or mottled skin, only communicate telepathically	Perform more complex physical procedures and question abductees about events in their lives.	

Tall grays – your garden-variety science fiction ET; followed by Reptilian – like ETs. Again: telepathic communication and are seen in the presence of other types of ETs.

Humanoid Hybrids, Early Stage	Gray, alien-looking but human-shaped; faces with small facial features. Thin body, thin, wispy hair, small pointed chins, only communicate telepathically	Caretakers for hybrid babies and toddlers.	
Humanoid Hybrids, Middle Stage	Faces half alien and half human, 5 – 5'8" tall, small mouths and noses, medium-thick hair,	Caretakers for hybrid toddlers and older children. Escort abductees around UFO; only communicate telepathically	

Here we begin to get clones, which suggests that the sperm sampling and other reproductive interference has a purpose, and the purpose is to produce human-hybrid clones.

Humanoid Hybrids, Late-Stage	Look human except that they may not have pubic or body hair. 5' – 5'10" tall, they can easily pass for human, male or female. communicate mainly telepathically but can do so verbally.	Leave UFOs to bring abductees onboard; may develop special relationships with abductees, do not live on earth, often enforce rules, making sure that abductees comply with the program. Can be communiative; help hybrids integrate.	
Humanoid Hybrids, Human Stage: Advance and Security Hybrids	Physically indistinguishable from humans, 5'6" – 5'10" tall	Security hybrids protect hybrids living on earth and make sure there are no security breaches; advance hybrids come to earth to obtain housing for hybrids and independents; may live on Earth	
Hybrids, Independent and Group	Human in every way except in specific neural functions, 5'6" – 5'10" tall, telepathic and verbal communication, male and female, can learn to be citizens.	Live on earth and seamlessly blend into society. Group hybrids learn as much about Earth society as possible, Independent hybrids learn as much as possible about human life; they live alone but also maintain contact with their UFOs. Independents help group hybrids integrate.	 <p>Thanks, David!</p>

And here is the difficult last section of the Jacobs' table 2: the ET agenda. Or at least the agenda of some ET species: to produce human hybrids, physically indistinguishable from ordinary humans but able to command humans telepathically.

I borrowed David' picture to suggest that any ET 'Walking Among Us' would be indistinguishable from the retired Temple University history professor – or you – or me.